





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,918	08/13/2001	Claudia Panzer	H-3630-PCT/U	5279
. 23657 75	590 02/04/2003	,		
COGNIS COI			EXAMI	NER
GULPH MILLS	SANCE BLVD., SUITI S, PA 19406	£ 200		AOJIA A
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	11
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003	'1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/830,918	PANZER ET AL.	
,	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Shaojia A. Jiang	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2003 FAILS To herefore, further action by the applicant is requinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	uired to avoid abandonment of this either: (1) a timely filed amendmer of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application i	a in
PERIO	<u>D FOR REPLY</u> [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing no event, however, will the statutory period for ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST 1706.07(f).	date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the	e mailing date of the final rejection.	i
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1. see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expirary as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receive mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment	the period of extension and the correspond ation date of the shortened statutory period f d by the Office later than three months after	ling amount of the fee. The appropriat for reply originally set in the final Office	te extension e action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension there 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be	entered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would red	quire further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (s	see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the ap issues for appeal; and/or	plication in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without NOTE:	out canceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.	,
B. Applicant's reply has overcome the follow	ving rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ r application in condition for allowance be		n considered but does NOT pla	ce the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consiraised by the Examiner in the final reject		LELY to issues which were nev	vly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ar explanation of how the new or amended			ın
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) a	s follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	,		
Claim(s) rejected: 8-27.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	none.		
B. The proposed drawing correction filed or	ı is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure	Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
0. Other:		SREENI PADMANABH	11
		PRIMARY EXAMINER	12/5/

Art Unit: 1617

Advisory Action

This Office Action is a response to Applicant's amendment and response <u>after</u>

<u>FINAL</u> filed on January 21, 2003.

5. Applicant's remarks filed January 21, 2003 with respect to the rejection of claims 8-27 made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wachter et al. (WO 96/16991), Yu et al. (5,547,988), and Keil et al. (5,690,924) have been fully considered but are unpersuasive for reasons of record stated in the Final Office Action dated November 19, 2002.

Again, Applicant's arguments regarding this rejection have been considered but are not found persuasive. As discussed in the Final Rejection, motivation to combine the teachings of the prior art cited herein to make the present invention is seen. The claimed invention is clearly obvious in view of the prior art.

Furthermore, Applicant's results in the Examples of the specification at pages 12-13 herein have been fully considered with respect to the nonobviousness and/or unexpected results of the claimed invention over the prior art but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons below. Examples provide no clear and convincing evidence of nonobviousness or unexpected results over the cited prior art since there is no comparison to the same present. Therefore, the evidence presented in specification herein is not seen to support the nonobviousness of the instant claimed invention over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,918

Art Unit: 1617

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 305-1877.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1235.

S. A. Jiang, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, AU 1617 February 1, 2003

> SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

HIMAHY EXAMINER 2